



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/304,481	09/22/81	KOBREHEL	G BA-157

POLLOCK, VANDE SANDE & FRIDY
P. O. BOX 19088
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER	
CHAN N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
123	8

DATE MAILED: 07/08/83

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☐ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 4-20-83 ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), — days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-11 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☒ Claims 12-21 have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-11 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. ☐ Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are ☐ acceptable;
☐ not acceptable (see explanation).
10. ☐ The ☐ proposed drawing correction and/or the ☐ proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner, ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.
12. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited in accordance with the disclosure at the bridging paragraph of pages 3-4 of the specification. See MPEP 706.03(n) and 706.03(z).

This paragraph states that acylation of the N-methyl compound (the compound of Example 1 and claim 2) will produce a compound wherein R^2 is an acyl group while R_3 - R_5 can be other radicals. The specification is not enabling with respect to the compound wherein only R_2 is hydrogen. The last paragraph of page 2 of the specification only teaches the preparation of the compound wherein R_2 - R_5 are all hydrogen.

In claim 1 the word "New" should be deleted because it is redundant and the word "compounds" should be singular.

The following is a new rejection which was inadvertently omitted

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Serial No. 304481

-3-

Art Unit 123

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Kobrehel et al, of record. The instantly claimed N-methyl compound is an obvious *methyl* analog of the reference compound. See In re ~~Paquette~~, 165 USPQ 317, 319.

Chan:rt

A/C 703

557-3920

6/17/83



NICKY CHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 123